

LACEA LAMES 2012 Survey results

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Below average	Poor
General evaluation [Overall]	58%	33%	7%	3%	0%
Organization and logistics [Overall]	68%	27%	5%	0%	0%
Organization and logistics [Coffees and Lunches]	52%	37%	7%	3%	1%
Organization and logistics [Room Equipment and Support]	71%	25%	3%	1%	0%
Organization and logistics [Transportation]	43%	29%	24%	3%	1%
Organization and logistics [Social Events]	44%	35%	16%	4%	1%
Quality of the sessions [Overall]	34%	48%	14%	4%	0%
Quality of the sessions [Plenary sessions]	50%	34%	13%	3%	0%
Quality of the sessions [Invited sessions]	40%	37%	14%	7%	3%
Quality of the sessions [Contributed sessions]	35%	40%	19%	6%	1%
	Too many	Appropriate	Too few		
Please comment on the number of sessions included in the program [Plenary sessions (8)]	4%	89%	7%		
Please comment on the number of sessions included in the program [Invited sessions (28)]	20%	78%	3%		
Please comment on the number of sessions included in the program [Contributed sessions (115)]	40%	56%	5%		
Please comment on the attendance at the [Plenary sessions (8)]	3%	93%	4%		
Please comment on the attendance at the [Invited sessions (28)]	2%	91%	7%		
Please comment on the attendance at the [Contributed sessions (115)]	3%	56%	41%		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Below average	Poor
How would you rate the quality of the plenary sessions [Miguel Castilla]	23%	28%	42%	4%	3%
How would you rate the quality of the plenary sessions [Jean Charles Rochet]	35%	37%	24%	2%	2%
How would you rate the quality of the plenary sessions [Robert Lucas]	33%	29%	29%	6%	3%
How would you rate the quality of the plenary sessions [Olivier Hart]	27%	33%	35%	1%	4%
How would you rate the quality of the plenary sessions [Sebastian Edwards]	35%	31%	27%	5%	1%
How would you rate the quality of the plenary sessions [Eric Maskin]	43%	23%	18%	10%	6%
How would you rate the quality of the plenary sessions [Olivier Blanchard]	42%	27%	30%	1%	0%
Memo: Average	34%	30%	30%	4%	3%